1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney
2	EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division
4	ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) Assistant United States Attorney
5 6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7210 Fax: (415) 436-7234
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 3 05 70544
13	Plaintiff, (PROPOSED) ORDER AND STIPLLA TION FOR CONTINUANCE
14	v.) STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE FROM OCTOBER 28, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 18, 2005 AND EXCLUDING
15	CHRISTINE CARPENTER,) TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
16) CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § Defendant.) 3161(h)(8)(A)) AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1
17	
18	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters
19	this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of November 18, 2005 at
20	9:30A.M. before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the
21	preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time
22	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from October 28, 2005 to November 18, 2005.
23	The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:
24	1. The defendant has been released on her own recognizance.
25	2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §
26	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective
27	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
28	3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for

preliminary hearing.

- 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.
- 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from October 28, 2005 to November 18, 2005, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
- 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on November 18, 2005, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that the period from October 28, 2005 to November 18, 2005 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

17 | IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: /s_____

20 Attorney for Defendant

DATED: /s
ROBERT DAVID REES

Assistant United States Attorney

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: 11/2/05 HON. NANDOR VADAS

United States Magistrate Judge